LEXICO-SYNTACTIC MEANS OF COMPENSATION OF THE ELLIPTICAL SIMPLE SENTENCES IN THE TRAGEDIES OF SOPHOCLES

The phenomenon of ellipsis in Ancient Greek is described in Slaviatinskaya 2003; Sobolevskiy 2004; Schwyzer 1950; Gkikas 1991; Mparmpas 2002; Koutalopoulos 2004; Karapanagiotou 1902. However, most of the studies of ellipsis are based on modern languages (Bohatko 2005; Diundyk 1971; Kiuherian 1987; Skovorodnikov 1978). The researchers usually examine structural peculiarities of elliptical constructions while compensatory means are set aside. The present study claims that any ellipsis causes redistribution of information. Logical accent shifts to more weighty part of the phrase, which is closely connected with reduced elements via different types of syntactic relations: predication, subordination, and coordination.

Various types of syntactic units can be omitted and some of them have already been analyzed (Hnatyshak 2013: 199–204; 2015: 61–64; 2016: 84–90). The purpose of this paper is to discover the tendency of compensation of the elliptical simple sentences in the tragedies by Sophocles. The dialogues in these tragedies contain numerous instances of ellipsis in multi-structural syntaxemes. The objects of study are elliptical simple sentences, in particular the extended ones, and their means of compensation in the dialogues of the tragedies Oedipus the King, Oedipus at Colonus and Antigone.
The peculiarities of redistribution of the communicative information between the reduced and compensatory units in the situational tragedy speech are the subject of the present study.

According to I. Vykhovanets, the main syntactic unit is the sentence, which depends on context, speech situation and can be divided into two parts in terms of information structure: the ‘given’ (theme) and the ‘new’ (rheme, the communicative center of the phrase) (Vykhovanets 1993: 48). Different means of expression (including the logical accent) are used to emphasize the rheme. The logical accent is a universal mean for accentuation of the communicative centre of the utterance in spoken language. It ensures the concentration of attention on the main point of a sentence regardless of the word order. Such specific syntactic constructions include elliptical sentences from which less notional elements are removed (Vykhovanets 1993: 152).

The question of the syntactic nature of an elliptical sentence is debatable. An elliptical sentence is a predicative unit where some syntactic positions (or several parts of the sentence) are omitted, i.e. are neither spoken or written but reproduced in the conscience of the communicants (Kolomyytseva 2008: 258). There is no doubt that any compound word is more economical than its initial syntactical group on the level of linearity, graphical and sound representation. What is more, the choice of the shortened structure can be influenced by the purpose of the utterance, the genre of the work, the structure of the sentence or the paragraph. It should be taken into consideration that the speaker may use one expression and avoid another (Mykhalechyk 2009: 53). The emotive function also plays an important role every time the speaker expresses his attitude to the subject.

The elliptical structures were analyzed as follows: firstly, we reconstruct the elliptical simple sentence according to the previous information; secondly, we determine the compensatory part of a sentence, its function in the sentence and the result of the information redistribution in the text. As such sentences may differ in their size, we will examine them consistently starting with three-component ones.

For example, in Oedipus’ words we reconstruct the three-component expanded simple sentence (ESS) from his previous cue: ΟΙ δεινόν γ᾽ ὄνειδος σπαργάνων ἀνειλόμην (O. R. 1035) – I have received terrible shame from childhood. ΟΙ ὦ πρὸς θεῶν, πρὸς
μητρὸς ἢ πατρὸς [δεινόν ὄνειδος ἀν ειλόμην]; φράσον. (O. R. 1037) – from gods, from my mother, or from my father [have I received terrible shame]? Say it! The adverbial modifier, the prepositional combination of word-group πρὸς θεῶν, πρὸς μητρὸς ἢ πατρὸς, is emphasized according to subordination (government). In such a way the author demonstrates Oedipus’ wish to find out the origin of his misfortune.

From Oedipus’ question: ΟΙ ἄναξ, ἐμὸν κήδευμα, παῖ Μενοικέως, τίν’ ἡμὶν ἥκεις τοῦ θεοῦ φάτιν φέρων; (O. R. 85–86) – my king, my people, Menoeceus’ child, what rumor from god do you bring while arriving? – we restore ESS of the same structure in Creon’s answer: ΚΡ ἐσθλήν [φάτιν φέρων ἥκω]; (O. R. 87) – good [rumor I bring while arriving]... According to subordination (agreement), the compensatory unit is the definitive – adjective ἐσθλήν (good) with the semantic meaning quality corresponding to the norm. In A. Koutalopoulos’ opinion (Koutalopoulos 2004: 222), Creon is not talking about the prophecy, confirming only that is positive. This good word said by Creon underlines hope because of Creon’s happy face and his head decorated with a wreath.

In the succession of Ismene’s and Antigone’s utterances the omitted ESS ἵμερος ἔχει με can be reconstructed from Antigone’s words with the interchange of personal pronouns με- σε: ΑΝ ἵμερος ἔχει με (О. С. 1724) – I have a desire. ΙΣ --τίς [ἵμερος ἔχει σε]; (O. C. 1725) – what [desire do you have]? AN τὰν χθόνιον ἔστιαν ἰδεῖν [ἵμερος ἔχει με] (O. C. 1726) – to see the underground dwelling [I have a desire]. The analyzed syntactical construction is a part of the seven-component ESS which eliminates in two further cues: ΙΣ τίνος [τὰν χθόνιον ἔστιαν ἰδεῖν [ἵμερος ἔχει σε]; (O. C. 1727) – whose underground dwelling do you have a desire to see]? AN --πατρός, τάλαιν ἐγώ [τὰν χθόνιον ἔστιαν ἰδεῖν [ἵμερος ἔχει με] (O. C. 1728) – father’s, unfortunate me [underground dwelling have I a desire to see]. Thus we can determine a few compensatory units. In the first case according to subordination (agreement) the logical accent shifts to the definitive – the interrogative pronoun τίς, which shows Ismene’s desire to find out what exactly her sister wants to do. In the second case we receive the answer to this question through stress accentuation of the object – the infinitive ἰδεῖν with the same visual perception according to subordination (government). In two other utterances according to subordination (government) the definitive – the interrogative pronoun in genitive case τίνος, as Ismene wants to know whose grave her sister is talking about. In Antigone’s answer,
the author emphasizes the definitive – the noun πατρός with the seme family relations according to subordination (government)

We reconstruct the three-component ESS in Oedipus’ words due to syntactical parallelism: ΟΙ ἐγὼ γὰρ ἡγεμὼν σφῷν αὖ πέφασμαι καινός, ὡσπερ [ἔφαντε πρότερον ἡγεμόνες] σφῷ πατρί (O. C. 1542–1543) – because now I turned out to be your new leader, as [you have earlier turned out to be leaders] for your father. Accentuating according to subordination (government) the indirect object – the attributive word-group σφῷ πατρί, Oedipus asks his daughters to follow him to that sacred place where he is meant to die. He also affirms that he will take them there himself.

In the next examples we activate the four-component ESS, in particular in guard’s cue from Creon’s previous words: ΚΡ ἦ καὶ ξυνίης καὶ λέγες ὀρθῶς ἀφής; (Ant., 403) – do you realize and say correctly the things you are talking about? ΦΥ [ξυνίημι καὶ ὀρθῶς λέγω] ταύτην γ’ ἰδὼν θάπτουσαν ὁ σὺ τὸν νεκρὸν ἄπειπας (Ant., 404) – [I realize and say correctly]. On seeing her bury the body you forbade to. According to subordination the adverbial modifier of the reason is stressed. It is expressed with the participle ιδὼν (on seeing) with the seme visual perception.

Using the scholia of G. Mparmpas (Mparmpas 2002: 223), we reconstruct the omitted ESS from the previous context in Jokasta’s utterance: ΧΟ δόκησις ἀγνὼς λόγων ἦλθε, δάπτει δὲ καὶ τὸ μὴ᾽νδικον (O. R.681–682) – wrong suspicion arose because of words and the unjust tortures. ΙΟ ἀμφοῖν ἀπ’ αὐτοῖν [ηλθε δόκησις ἀγνὼς λόγων]; (O. R.683) – to both of them [did the wrong suspicion arise because of words]? A. Koutalopoulos considers the phrase ἦλθε τὸ νεῖκος (argument arose) to be reduced (Koutalopoulos 2004: 291). According to subordination (government) the indirect object – the numeral ἀμφοῖν is logically stressed in order to emphasize the two characters who had an argument.

In the chain of examples, the five-component ESS is omitted. For instance, we reconstruct such unit in Oedipus’ words from Tiresius’ previous cue: ΚΡ ἄνω γεν ἡμᾶς Φοῖβος ἐμφανῶς ἄναξ μίσσαμα χώρας, ὡς τεθραμμένον χθονὶ ἐν τῇδ’, ἐλαύνειν μηδ’ ἀνήκεστον τρέφειν [μίσσαμα] (O. R. 96–98) – Phoebe, the lord, has clearly ordered us to expel the shame of this country, the shame which was born in this land, and not to grow pernicious [shame]. ΟΙ ποίῳ καθαρμῷ [ἀνογεν Φοῖβος υμᾶς ἔλαυνεν μίσσαμα]; (O. R. 99) – in which way [has Phoebe ordered you to expel the shame]? As a result of ellipsis according to subordination (government), the compensatory unit is the adverbial modifier of manner – the
attributive word-group ποίῳ καθαρμῷ, which emphasizes Oedipus’ desire to find out the way to rescue the city.

In Jocasta’s cue, the accent shifts from the eliminated ESS to the negation οὐ δῆτ’ according to subordination (adjoining), i.e. the fact of servant’s absence: ΟΙ ἦ κἀ ν δόμοις τυγγάνει τανύν παρὼν [o οικεύς]; (O. R. 757) – does the servant happen to be at home now? IO οὐ δῆτ’ [τυγγάνει τανύν παρὼν δόμοις [o οικεύς]]. (O. R. 758) – not a bit [the servant happens to be at home now]. Similar transformation takes place in Oedipus’ words, who was so ashamed that he poked his eyes out: ΟΙ οὐ δῆτα [τά τέκνα ἦν εφίμερα προσελεύσειν] τοῖς γ’ ἐμοῖσιν ὀφθαλμοῖς ποτε (O. R. 1377) – not a bit [it was desirable to see the children] never with my own eyes.

We resume the five-component part in servant’s cue from Oedipus’ previous words: ΟΙ ἦ γὰρ δίδωσιν ἥδε σοι [τὸν παῖδα];(O. R. 1173) – was it she to give you [the child]? ΘΕ μάλιστ’, ἄναξ [δίδωσιν ἥδε μοι[τὸν παῖδα]] (O. R. 1173) – definitely, my king [she gave me the child]. Correspondingly, according to subordination (adjoining) the logical accent transfers to the adverb μάλιστ’ in order to confirm trustworthiness of the answer to Oedipus’ question about the infant.

In the texts studied, there are also cases of ellipsis of the six-component ESS. We reconstruct such sentence in messenger’s utterance from Jocasta’s question. As a result, the above-mentioned negation οὐ δῆτ’ is emphasized, i.e. the fact that Polybus is no longer in power: ΙΟ οὐχ ὁ πρέσβυς Πόλυβος ἐγκρατὴς ἔτι [εστί]; (O. R. 941) – isn’t old Polybus a king anymore? ΑΓ οὐ δῆτ’ [ὁ πρέσβυς Πόλυβος ἐγκρατῆς ἔτι εστί], ἐπεί νῦν θάνατος ἐν τάφοις ἔχει (O. R. 942) – not a bit [old Polybus is not a king anymore], because death has taken him below ground.

We reconstruct Ismene’s previous six-component phrase in Oedipus’ cue, which is also reduced in her next answer: ΙΣ ἔσται ποτ’ ἄρα τοῦτο Καδμείοις βάρος (Ο. С. 409) – this will be the burden for the Cadmeans. ΟΙ ποίας φανείσης, ὦ τέκνον, συναλλαγῆς [ἔσται ποτ’ ἄρα τοῦτο Καδμείοις βάρος]; (Ο. С. 410) – my child, under which circumstances [will this be the burden for the Cadmeans]? ΙΣ τῆς σῆς ὑπ’ ὀργῆς, σοὶ ὅταν στῶσιν τάφοις [ἔσται ποτ’ ἄρα τοῦτο Καδμείοις βάρος] (Ο. С. 411) – [this will be the burden for the Cadmeans] because of your anger when they stand on your grave. Ellipsis results in two compensatory units according to subordination (government). So, in the first case the logical accent shifts to the adverbial modifier of reason represented by syntactic construction Genetivus absolutus ποίας φανείσης συναλλαγῆς in
order to emphasize Oedipus’ desire to learn more about a new prophecy, namely about misfortune for the Cadmeans providing his inappropriate burial. In the second case, the author also stresses the modifier of reason – the prepositional word-group τῆς σῆς ὑπ᾽ ὀργῆς as argumentation of the future Cadmeans’ bad luck.

In Oedipus’ monologue, we also reconstruct the six-component ESS from the microcontext: ΟΙ ἄρ᾽ οὐκ ἂμεινον ἦ σὺ τὰν Θήβας φρονῶ; πολλῷ [ἀμεινὸν ἦ σὺ τὰν Θήβας φρονῶ] γ᾽ (Ο. С. 791–793) – don’t I know better about the things in Thebes than you do? Much [better do I know about the things in Thebes than you do]. Here according to subordination (adjoining) the modifier of reason – the adverb πολλῷ (much) with the seme correspondence to the quality above the norm is stressed in order to maximally underline Oedipus’ awareness of the current situation in his native town.

The following conclusions can be made: ellipsis in expanded simple sentences is regularly observed in the texts of the tragedies by Sophocles. Mainly the three-, four-, five- and six-component ESS are reduced. One case of elimination of the seven-component phrase is attested. The omission of these syntactic constructions leads to the shift of logical accent. Various parts of the sentence can be emphasized, mainly the modifiers (of reason, manner, place), definitives. Indirect objects and negations. The compensatory elements in the texts of the tragedies are represented by the minimal syntactic units (75%). These minimal syntactic units include pronouns, adverbs, and negations while the syntactical constructions are expressed with the attributive and prepositional word-groups. Compensatory means include subordination and its types: government, adjoining, and less often – agreement. As a result of ellipsis, tautology is avoided, while new information is stressed. Ellipsis is mainly observed in Oedipus’, Ismene’s and Jocasta’s cues. The author achieves emphatic effect by accentuating the most important details which demonstrate the speaker’s attitude towards the message.
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M. Hnatyshak. Lexico-syntactic means of compensation of the elliptical simple sentences in the tragedies of Sophocles

The present paper analyzes the ways of compensation in elliptical simple sentences of various structures in the tragedies ‘Oedipus the King’, ‘Antigone’ and ‘Oedipus at Colonus’. The expanded elliptical sentences are reconstructed and the inventory of compensatory syntactic means is determined on the grounds of the corresponding syntactic links. Redistribution of communicative information between elliptical and compensatory items in situational speech is analyzed. As a result of ellipsis, tautology is avoided, the most important details, which demonstrate the speaker’s attitude towards the message are stressed, and thus, the emphatic effect is achieved.
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